

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,876	12/26/2001	Young-chul You	1568.1035	7530
21171 7	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/025,876	YOU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Quarterman	2879	and			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period returned to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 F	February 2004.					
·		is action is non-final.					
3)□							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 December 2001</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	fare: a) \square accepted or b) \square object a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 1201.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Salar Company of the Company of the salar salar

Application/Control Number: 10/025,876

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the restriction requirement of the last Office action is persuasive, since applicant has added a new linking claim. Therefore, the restriction requirement is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 12-16 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harkonen (US 5314759).
- 4. Regarding independent claim 12, Harkonen discloses a phosphor comprising a perovskite structure (col. 5, ln. 12) and samarium (col. 5, ln. 25-31). The Examiner notes that expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim (MPEP § 2115). Thus, the claim recitation "an amount of said Sm is such that a luminescence of the phosphor at 1000 hours of usage is at least 40% of an initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.
- 5. Regarding claim 13, the claim recitation "the amount is such that the luminescence at 1000 hours of usage is at least 70% of an initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,876

Art Unit: 2879

- 6. Regarding claim 14, the claim recitation "an amount is such that the initial luminescence is at least 65 Cd/m²" has not been given patentable weight.
- 7. Regarding claim 15, the claim recitation "the amount is such that the initial luminescence is at least 65 Cd/m²" has not been given patentable weight.
- 8. Regarding claim 16, the claim recitation "the amount is such that a luminescence at 600 hours of usage is at least 60% of the initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.
- 9. Regarding claim 23, Harkonen discloses a fluorescent display device comprising the phosphor (Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-11 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toki (US 5619098) in view of Harkonen (US 5314759).
- 12. Regarding independent claim 1, Toki discloses a phosphor comprising a perovskite structure comprising MTiO₃: (A, B), where M is an alkali earth metal, A is an element selected from the group consisting of cerium (Ce), praseodymium (Pr), europium (Eu), terbium (Tb), and thulium (Tm), and B is a Group IIIA element of the periodic table (col. 5, In. 57-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,876

Art Unit: 2879

- 13. Toki discloses each limitation of independent claim 1, as discussed above, but fails to exemplify the phosphor comprising samarium (Sm).
- 14. Harkonen teaches that it is known in the art to incorporate into a phosphor an activator-doping layer containing samarium (col. 5, In. 25-31). Harkonen also discloses that this doping layer yields high efficiency and good stability of emission (col. 5, In. 31-34).
- 15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the phosphor of Toki with a activator-doping layer containing samarium, as taught by Harkonen, for increasing the efficiency of the phosphor.
- 16. Regarding claim 2, primary reference Toki discloses that the alkali earth metal is an element selected from the group consisting of magnesium (Mg), strontium (Sr), calcium (Ca), and barium (Ba) (col. 5, In. 59).
- 17. Regarding claim 3, primary reference Toki discloses that the element A is added in an amount of 0.05-5mol% based on 1 mol of Ti (col. 6, In. 6-7).
- 18. Regarding claim 4, primary reference Toki discloses that the Group IIIA element is an element selected from the group consisting of aluminum (AI), gallium (Ga), indium (In), and thallium (TI) (col. 5, In. 59).
- 19. Regarding claim 5, primary reference Toki discloses that the Group IIIA element is added in an amount of 0.05-80mol% based on 1 mol of Ti (col. 6, In. 10-11).
- 20. Regarding claim 6, secondary reference Harkonen discloses a varying amount of samarium (col. 6, ln. 30-35).

Art Unit: 2879

- 21. Regarding claim 7, expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim (MPEP § 2115). Thus, the claim recitation "an amount of Sm is such that a luminescence of the phosphor at 1000 hours of usage is at least 40% of an initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.
- 22. Regarding claim 8, the claim recitation "the amount is such that the luminescence at 1000 hours of usage is at least 70% of an initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.
- 23. Regarding claim 9, the claim recitation "an amount of Sm is such that an initial luminescence is at least 65 Cd/m²" has not been given patentable weight.
- 24. Regarding claim 10, the claim recitation "the amount is such that the initial luminescence is at least 65 Cd/m²" has not been given patentable weight.
- 25. Regarding claim 11, the claim recitation "the amount is such that a luminescence at 600 hours of usage is at least 60% of the initial luminescence" has not been given patentable weight.
- 26. Regarding claim 17, primary reference Toki discloses the perovskite structure comprising MTiO₃: (A, B), where M is an alkali earth metal, A is an element selected from the group consisting of cerium (Ce), praseodymium (Pr), europium (Eu), terbium (Tb), and thulium (Tm), and B is a Group IIIA element of the periodic table (col. 5, In. 57-62).
- 27. Regarding claims 18-20, secondary reference Harkonen discloses a varying amount of samarium (col. 6, ln. 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/025,876

Art Unit: 2879

28. Regarding claim 21, primary reference Toki discloses a fluorescent display

device comprising the phosphor (Abstract).

29. Regarding claim 22, primary reference Toki discloses that the fluorescent display

device is one of a field emission display and a vacuum fluorescent display (col. 2, In.

67).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571)

272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph Williams Joseph William Sur

Page 6

Kevin Quarterman Examiner Art Unit 2879

kg Moreh 2004

Nimesh Patel Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879